United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



APPENDIX

74-1761

IN THE

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

VS.

KEITH HALBACH,

Appellee,

Appellant.

SEP 2 3 1974

On Appeal From the United States District Court for the Western District of New York CR, 1973-307.

APPENDIX

JOHN T. ELFVIN, United States Attorney, Western District of New York, Attorney for Appellee, 502 United States Court House, Buffalo, New York 14202.

THEODORE J. BURNS,
Assistant United States Attorney,
Of Counsel.

BATAYIA TIMES. APPELLATE COURT PRINTERS A. GERALD KLEPS, REPRESENTATIVE BATAYIA, H. Y. 14020 716-343-0487



PAGINATION AS IN ORIGINAL COPY

INDEX TO APPENDIX.

	page
Indictment	1
Excerpts of Testimony	7
Excerpts of Testimony	20
Charge of the Court	48
Exceptions at Side Bar	
Request	50
Question asked by Jury	51.
Verdict	53
Verdict	56
Judgment and Commitment	50

In the District Court of the United States

For the Western District of New York

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

-VS-

KEITH C. HALBACH and JACK E. GILMET

MARCH 1973 SESSION XTRUTXXX (Impaneled July 9, 1973) No. 1973 3

Vio. T. 18, U.S.C., §§371, 495

COUNT I

The Grand Jury Charges:

That beginning on or about the 9th day of August, 1973, in the Western District of New York, the defendants, KEITH C. HALBACH and JACK E. GILMET, wilfully, knowingly, and unlawfully, did combine, conspire and agree together with each other to defraud the United States and to commit offenses against the United States, to wit, to violate Title 18, United States Code, §495, by uttering and publishing as true, forged United States Savings Bonds, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

OVERT ACTS

At the times hereinafter mentioned the defendants committed the following overt acts in furtherance of said conspiracy and to effect the objects thereof:

1. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH removed numerous United States Savings Bonds owned by Richard Clarence Yox from a residence at 37 Culver Road, Buffalo, New York.



- 2. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, the defendants travelled from 37 Culver Road, Buffalo, New York, with numerous United States Savings Bonds owned by Richard Clarence Yox to the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company at 284 Abbott Road in Buffalo, New York, in an automobile driven by the defendant, JACK E. GILMET.
- 3. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH, opened a savings account in the name of Richard C. Yox at the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company at 284 Abbott Road in Buffalo, New York.
- 4. On or about the 1th day of August, 1973, in Buffalo, New York, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH, forged the endorsement "Richard C. Yox" on a \$1,000.00 Series E United States Savings Bond Serial Number M4001204339E.
- 5. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, at the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, 284 Abbott Road, Buffalo, New York, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH, presented for payment a \$1,000.00 Series E United States Savings Bond, Serial Number M4001204339E and received therefor \$1124.80 in cash, of which amount \$200.00 was then deposited in the savings account opened by the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH, in the name of Richard C. Yox.
- 6. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, the defendants travelled from the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company at 284 Abbott Road, Buffalo, New York with numerous United States Savings Bonds owned by Richard Clarence Yox to the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company at 490 Dorrance Avenue, Buffalo, New York, in an automobile driven by the defendant, JACK E. GILMET.

- 7. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, in Suffalo, New York, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH, forged the endorsement "Richard C. Yox" on two Series & United States Savings Bonds owned by Richard Clarence Yox, to wit, Serial Numbers M4001204337E with a face amount of \$1,000.00, and Serial Number M4001204338E with a face amount of \$1,000.00.
- 8. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, at the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company,
 490 Dorrance Avenue, Buffalo, New York, the defendant,
 KEITH C. HALBACH presented for payment the two Series E
 United States Savings Bonds, owned by Richard Clarence
 Yox, previously described herein at paragraph 7.
- 9. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973,
 the defendants travelled from the Manufacturers and
 Traders Trust Company, 490 Dorrance Avenue, Buffalo,
 New York, with numerous United States Savings Bonds
 owned by Richard Clarence Yox to the Manufacturers and
 Traders Trust Company, 284 Abbott Road, Buffalo, New York.
- 10. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, at the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, 284 Abbott Road, Buffalo, New York, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH, presented for payment the two Series E United States Savings Bonds owned by Richard Clarence Yox, previously described herein at paragraph 7.
- 11. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, at the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, 227 Ridge Road, Lackawanna, New York, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH,

withdrew \$200.00 in cash from the savings account previously opened by said defendant in the name of Richard C. Yox.

12. On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, in Lackawanna, New York, the defendants divided between themselves \$1124.80.

COUNT II

The Grand Jury further charges:

On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, in Buffalo, New York, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH, did forge the endorsement of Richard C. Yox on a United States Savings Bond, to wit, Serial Number M4001204339E Bond with a face amount of \$1,000.00, owned by Richard Clarence Yox, for the purpose of obtaining and receiving a sum of money from the United States and its agents, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, §495.

COUNT III

The Grand Jury further charges:

On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, in Buffalo, New York, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH, did forge the endorsement of Richard C. Yox on a United States Savings Bond, to wit, Serial Number M4001204337E with a face amount of \$1,000.00, owned by Richard Clarence Yox, for the purpose of obtaining and receiving a sum of money from the United States and its agents, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, \$495.

COUNT IV

The Grand Jury further charges:

On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, in Buffalo, New York, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH, did forge the endorsement of Richard C. Yox on a United States Savings Bond, to wit, Serial Number M4001204338E Bond with a face amount of \$1,000.00, owned by Richard Clarence Yox, for the purpose of obtaining and receiving a sum of money from the United States and its agents, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, \$495.

COUNT V

The Grand Jury further charges:

On or about the 9th day of August, 1973, in Buffalo, New York, the defendant, KEITH C. HALBACH, did utter and publish as true a forged United States Savings Bond, to wit, Serial Number M4001204339E Bond, with a face amount of \$1,000.00, owned by Richard Clarence Yox, with intent to defraud the United States, knowing the same to be forged, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, §495.

JOHN T. ELFVIN United States Attorney

A TRUE BILL:

Foreman

Form DJ-195 (Ed. 2-7-66)

WESTERN District of NEW YORK Division	
OF AMERICA	
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
KEITH C. HALBACH and	
JACK E. GILMET	
INDICTMENT	
	•
A trus bill. Pand & Desaul	-
Filed in open court this 13/th de of Lepkenuse, A. D. 19 73	y
	_
Olor)s	
Ball, \$	
GPO 902-48	2

OFFICE COPY

Indictment.

BY MR. BURNS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Finnerty, would you please now read Government's Exhibit 10?

A. "City of Buffalo, County of Erie, State of New York. I, Keith C. Halbach, being first duly sworn according to the law, deposes and says, 'I have been advised by Special Agent Patrick J. Finnerty, U. S. Secret Service, that under the provisions of the Constitution I cannot be compelled to be a witness against myself, and knowing that anything I may say may be used against me, I wish to make the following statement of my own free will and accord, without coercion or threat, and without promise of immunity. This statement is a voluntary act on my part, prompted by my desire to tell the facts, and I do not expect to gain any reward or special consideration by reason of having made this statement. I have been advised of my right to consult an attorney and to consult with any other individual. I understand that I have the right to have an attorney present and if unable to afford an attorney, one will be appointed for me prior to any questioning. On August 9, 1973, I was living at 37 Culver Road, Buffalo, New York, with Richard Yox, Jack Gilmet, and my step-brother, Conrad Halbach. On that date I took savings bonds belonging to Richard Yox from a box in the basement. When Jack Gilmet



returned home that day I told him and suggested we cash them. Jack Gilmet drove me to the M & T Bank on Abbott Road near Stevenson Street where, using Yox's identification I found in the basement, cashed the first \$1000 savings bond after opening a savings account. I received \$924.80 in cash, and put \$200 in the account. Gilmet and I then tried to cash in bonds at the M & T Bank at Abbott Road Plaza, but were unsuccessful. Gilmet and I returned to the M & T at Abbott and Stevenson to cash the bonds, \$3500, but were unsuccessful. After the manager questioned me and took the bonds, I fled the bank. Gilmet and I then went to the M & T Bank on Ridge Road in Lackawanna, New York, and withdrew all but \$10 from the savings account. After leaving the bank, Gilmet and I split the money, from which I received about \$560. I forged all the negotiations while Gilmet waited outside on each occasion. This statement is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. " It is signed Keith C. Halbach and Patrick J. Finnerty.

MR. BURNS: I have no further questions, your Honor.

22 MR. HILL: No questions.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

(Witness excused.)

24 MR. BURNS: Jack Gilmet.

25 JACK GILMET, called as a witness on behalf of

```
the Government, and being first duly sworn, testified
1
      as follows:
2
                   (Present: Daniel G. Tronolone, appearing
3
                   on behalf of the witness, Jack Gilmet.)
4
                   Your Honor, may counsel approach the bench?
   MR. BURNS:
5
                    Yes.
   THE COURT:
6
                    (Thereupon Mr. Burns, Mr. Hill and Mr.
7
                    Tronolone conferred with the Court.)
   DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BURNS:
   Q. Mr. Gilmet, by whom are you presently employed?
    A. I'm not.
11
    Q. Did you originally -- did you formerly reside in Buf-
       falo?
13
    A. Yes.
    Q. Where do you presently reside?
15
    A. Phoenix, Arizona.
16
    Q. And did you reside in Buffalo in August of 1973?
 17
    A. Yes.
 18
    Q. Where were you living then?
 19
    A. 37 Culver.
 20
     Q. Was anyone else residing there?
 21
     A. Yes.
     Q. Who else was living there?
 23
     A. Keith Halbach.
     Q. Anybody else?
  25
```

- 1 A. Richard Yox, Conrad LeBelle.
- 2 Q. Were you employed at that time, Mr. Gilmet?
- 3 A. K.
- 4 Q Now, do you know the defendant in this case, Keith Hal-5 bach?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7. Q. Is he in this courtroom today?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Would you point him out, please?
- A. Brown shirt.
- MR. BURNS: Let the record reflect the witness has identified the defendant.
- 13 BY MR. BURNS:
- Q. What is your relationship with the defendant, Keith Halbach?
- 16 A. None.
- Q. You say you resided together back in August 1973, were you friends or acquainted with one another?
- 19 A. I knew him.
- 20 Q. Back on August 9, 1973, did the defendant ever come and speak to you regarding some United States Savings Bonds?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What did he say?
- 24 A. He said he had some bonds that he wanted to be cashed.
- 25 Q. Where were you, where did the conversation take place?

- 1 A. In the parlor.
- 2 Q. In the parlor of what?
- 3 A. 37 Culver.
- Q. Would you speak up a little bit? Did he say where he had gotten these bonds?
- 6 A. From Richard Yox.
- 7 Q. Did he say more specifically where he found them?
- 8 A. I think downstairs in the cellar.
- 9 Q. And did he show them to you?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did he say what they were?
- 12 A. Bonds.
- Q. Did he say they were United States Savings Bonds?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. What did he say?
- 16 A. He just said he had some bonds.
- 17 Q. What else did he say to you regarding the bonds?
- 18 A. What do you mean?
- 19 Q. What did he say to you about the bonds?
- 20 A. He said he wanted to cash them.
- Q. Did he say he wanted you to do anything?
- 22 A. Take him to the bank, drive him to the bank.
- 23 Q. What did you say to him?
- 24 A. That I would.
- 25 Q. Did he say what bank?

A. No.

- Q. What did you do after you had this conversation?
- 3 A. I drove him to the bank.
- 4 Q. What bank?
- A. The Marine Midland -- the Manufacturers and Traders
 Trust Company on Abbott Road and Stevenson.
- 7 Q. A bank at Abbott Road and Stevenson?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you have any conversation when you were driving to that bank?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did Mr. Halbach tell you what he was going to do?
- 13 A. He said he was going to cash the bonds.
- Q. What happened when you arrived at the bank?
- A. He went inside and came out in about ten minutes.
- 16 Q. What did he say to you when he came out of the bank?
- A. That he had cashed one. He wanted to go to another bank to cash another one.
- 19 Q. Did he show you any of the money?
- A. Yes -- not really, he had it in an envelope, a white envelope.
- 22 Q. Did he show you any of the money in the envelope?
- 23 A. No, not then.
- Q. Did he show you any of the bonds?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Before he went in the bank did you see him write anything on the bonds?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. What happened after he got back in the car?
- 5 A. I took him to another bank.
- 6 Q. Was there some conversation before you took him to the bank?
- 8 A. No. He said he cashed one, he wanted to cash another one.
- 10 Q. I beg your pardon, he did what?
- A. He said he cashed one, he wanted to cash another one.
- Q. Did he say how he wanted to cash the other one, by what method, where, how?
 - A. Same way, he was going to another bank though.
 - Q. Did he tell you where to go?
- 16 A. No.

- 17 Q. Did you drive your automobile from that M & T Bank?
- 18 A. Yes, I went to the L. B. Smith Plaza.
- 19 Q. To another bank in the Plaza?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall what bank that was?
- 22 A. The same branch.
- Q. Did you ever have any conversation about what was going to be done?
- A. No, just said he was going to cash another one.

- Q. Then what happened when you arrived at that bank?
- A. He went inside and came out in about ten minutes and said that the teller wouldn't cash it, that he wanted to go back to the same branch and try to cash another one there.
- 6 Q. And what did you do?
- 7 A. I took him.
- 8 Q. Did he say what bank he wanted to go to?
- 9 A. To the same bank he already cashed one.
- 10 Q. Was that the Abbott-Stevenson Branch?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Was there any conversation in the car as you were traveling back to that Abbott-Stevenson bank?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Was there any conversation about what was going to be done with these bonds?
- 17 A. Yes, he said he was going to try to cash them.
- 18 Q. What happened when you arrived in the vicinity of the bank, the Abbott-Stevenson Branch?
- A. He went back into the bank, and I went in a store, and
 when I came out he was in the car, and he said that they
 took the bonds away from him and the guy was calling
 somebody on the phone.
- 24 Q. Did he suggest that you take any particular action then?
- 25 A. No, he told me to go to a different bank and get the

money out that he put in in the savings account. 1 Q. And what did you do? 2 A. I went to another bank. He got the money out that he 3 put in. Q. What bank did you go to then? 5 A. Ridge Road, the one on Ridge Road. 6 Q. Do you know what bank that was? 7 A. Manufacturers and Traders. 8 Q. Did you have any conversation when you were going to 9 that bank? 10 A. No. 11 Q. What happened once you arrived there? 12 A. He went in and got the money out and came back. Q. What happened when he got back to the car? A. We went home. 15 Q. Was there some conversation when he got back to the 16 car about what should be done next? 17 A. No, just that we go back. 18 Q. Did you ever see any of the money, any of the proceeds 19 from the cashing of these bonds? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. When? A. We were in the car. He came out of the last bank, he 23 gave me some, and then we went home.

Q. How much money did he give you?

24

```
A. $500.
 1
       $500?
 2
    A. Yes.
 3
    Q. Had there been any conversation before the bonds were
 4
       cashed about what the two of you -- how the two of you
 5
       were going to split up any proceeds from the cashing
 6
       of the bonds?
 7
    A. No.
 8
    Q. None at all?
    A. No.
10
    Q. What happened after you divided up the money between
11
       yourselves?
12
   A. We went back to 37 Culver, and that's it.
13
   Q. Mr. Gilmet, were you originally -- were you also
14
       charged in this case?
15
   A. Yes.
16
   Q. What happened to the charges against you?
17
                    Your Honor, I object to this.
   MR. HILL:
18
                                                     I think it
                    is completely irrelevant.
19
   THE COURT:
                    Sustained.
20
                    I have no further questions, your Honor.
   MR. BURNS:
21
   MR. HILL:
                    No cross-examination.
22
   THE COURT:
                    You are excused.
23
                    (Witness excused.)
```

Your Honor, the Government rests.

24

MR. BURNS:

THE COURT:

I will hear you up here, come up, please. (Thereupon the following colloquy ensued at the bench:

Your Honor, I move for a judgment of acquittal on two of the counts, pursuant to Federal Rule 29(a) of Title 18. this would be a motion under Sub(a), before submission to the jury on the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to sustain a conviction on two of the counts, specifically Count 5, which is the uttering count, and Count 1, which is the conspiracy count. In Count 5 it is alleged that this defandant uttered a forged United States Savings Bond, and under the conspiracy count it is alleged that the object of the conspiracy was a conspiracy to defraud the United States by uttering and publishing as true a forged United States Savings Bond. The fact of the matter here, your Honor, is that these are genuine United States Savings Bonds. There was a forged endorsement but it was a savings bond anyway. There is a good deal of authority for this position, starting with Prussian versus the

> H. T. NOEL OFFICIAL REPORTER U.S. DISTRICT COURT

MR. HILL:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1			United States in the Supreme Court, Gesell
2			versus the United States in the Eighth Cir-
3			cuit, and a dissent in Rogers versus the
4			United States in the Fifth Circuit. There
5			was a dissent simply because the majority
6			disposed of the case on other grounds, but
7			the dissent clearly states the point that
8			I am getting at, your Honor.
9	THE	COURT:	I don't think if that is what those cases
10			hold they are sound, in view of the language
11			of the statute. I deny the motion. What
12			is wrong with the conspiracy count?
13	MR.	HILL:	The object of the conspiracy is to defraud
14			the United States by uttering forged United
15			States Savings Bonds, again I think that
16			is defective on the same grounds.
17	THE	COURT:	Denied.
18	MR.	HILL:	We were discussing before the question of
19			what ruling the Court would make with re-
20			spect to this misdemeanor conviction. You
21			wanted some argument before he took the
22			stand.
23	THE	COURT:	How long ago was the misdemeanor conviction?
24	MR.	HILL:	The conviction is a Y.O., not too long ago,

H. T. NOEL
OFFICIAL REPORTER, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

within the past year.

```
What was it for?
  THE COURT:
1
                   Shoplifting.
   MR. HILL:
                   I will preclude you from cross-examining
   THE COURT:
3
                    on that.
4
                    He will not be permitted to examine?
   MR. HILL:
5
                    No.
   THE COURT:
6
                    (Thereupon the conference at the bench
7
                    was terminated.)
8
                    Yes, Mr. Hill.
   THE COURT:
9
                    Mr. Halbach.
    MR. HILL:
10
                     HALBACH, called as a witness on
    KEITH
                C.
11
    his own behalf, and being first duly sworn, testified as
12
    follows:
13
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HILL:
14
    Q. Mr. Halbach, will you speak up so I can hear you,
15
       please?
 16
     A. Yes.
 17
     Q. How old are you?
 18
     A. I am 18 years old.
 19
     Q. Would you tell us your birth date?
 20
     A. August 4, 1955.
 21
     Q. How far have you gone in school?
  22
     A. I completed my ninth year.
  23
     Q. Are you presently in school?
  24
      A. No, I haven't been back to school since.
  25
```

- 1 Q. Where are you living now?
- 2 A. Living at 37 Culver.
- 3 Q. With Richard Yox?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And are you employed?
- 6 A. Yes, I work for the Northland Galvanizing Company.
- 7 Q. What do you do there?
- 8 A. I galvanize things.
- 9 Q. Now, do you dispute the fact that you took some United
 10 States Savings Bonds from Richard Yox?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. You trok them to the bank?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And tried to cash them, is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now, when you did this did you know it was wrong?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. Did you know it was a violation of the law of New York
- 19 State?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you know that Richard Yox would lose some money here?
- 22 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 23 Q. What did you think these things you took were?
- 24 A. Similar to money, I thought it was Richie's money.
- 25 Q. Did you know there was a separate Federal law governing

```
this situation?
1
                  Sustained.
  THE COURT:
  BY MR. HILL:
   Q. Did you know at all that the United States Government
      was involved in this situation?
5
                   Sustained.
   THE COURT:
                   Excuse me, your Honor, I don't understand
   MR. HILL:
7
                    the basis for it.
 8
   THE COURT: It is immaterial.
    BY MR. HILL:
10
    Q. When did you first become -- strike that. When did you
11
       first learn that the United States Government was in-
 12
       volved in this situation?
 13
                    Sustained. Since the Government hasn't
    THE COURT:
 14
                     objected to these questions I will permit
 15
                     him to answer, but I must charge the jury
 16
                     that it is immaterial. If you want to ask
 17
                     him, with full knowledge that I am going
 18
                     to so charge the jury, go ahead.
  19
                     All right, your Honor. I do this for the
     MR. HILL:
  20
                      purposes of the record, with all respect,
  21
                      your Honor. Thank you, your Honor.
  22
                      That is why I am permitting you to do it.
     THE COURT:
  23
     BY MR. HILL:
  24
      Q. Did you know that there was a separate Federal law
```

covering this situation?

- A. No, I didn't.
- Q. Did you know that the Government was involved in any way?
- A. No.

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. When did you learn that the Government was involved?
- A. Well, after I ran from the Buffalo Police I called back home to talk with my brother, and he informed me that the Secret Service people were looking for me.
 - Q. And what was your reaction at that time?
 - A. I got scared because that was the first time I heard that the Government was involved. I panicked and stayed away, hiding out for a week, a week and a half.
- Q. Then what did you do?
- A. Well, at this time I was renting an apartment out by the airport. I called up the Secret Service people to come pick me up, I was going to turn myself in.
- 17 Q. Did you give them a statement?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. Did you give them that statement voluntarily?
- 20 A. Yes.

- Q. Why did you do these things?
- A. Because the Federal Government -- I just found out that
 the Federal Government was involved and I wanted to
 cooperate with them, I didn't want to go to jail.
 - Q. Now, before you learned that the Government was involved,

in your own mind how did you look at what you had done? 1 A. I just thought I was taking money from Richie. 2 Thank you. No further questions. MR. HILL: 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BURNS: Q. I show you what has been marked Government's Exhibits 5 1 through 6, would you look at those, please? Have 6 you seen those before? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. What are they? 9 A. These are the bonds I stole from Richard Yox's base-10 ment. 11 Q. Do you see any printing on the top of the front of each 12 of those bonds? 13 A. Right here? 14 Q. Up at the top? 15 A. Where? Oh, yes. 16 Q. What does it say? 17 A. United States of America. 18 Q. What were you planning to do with these after you had 19 taken them from Mr. Yox, from Mr. Yox's basement? 20 A. Cashing them in at a bank. 21 Q. What did you hope to obtain when you tried to cash them 22 at the bank? 23 A. The face value of them.

25

Q. Did you sign Government's Exhibits 1 and 2, did you sign

- that on the back Richard C. Yox?
- 2 Yes.

- 3 Q. What was the purpose for your signing it?
- (No response.) A.
- 5 Q. Was it your purpose to obtain a sum of money?
- 6 A. Yes, it was.
- 7 It was your purpose to obtain a sum of money from whom, 8
- from the bank?
- 9 Yes, that's where I took the bonds.
- 10 That was your intent, after you turned them over to the 11 bank, the bank would give you some money?
- That's right. 12
- 13 You said they are similar to money, how do you mean they are similar to money? 14
- Well, it's just like a check is similar to money, you 15 have to sign on the back to get some money for it. 16
- Now, you mentioned that you ran from the police. When 17 did you first find out that you were wanted in connec-18 tion with these forgeries? 19
- Well, after Jack and I left the last bank we went to 20 my house, 37 Culver, and the Buffalo Police came barrel-21 ing in, and was asking for me. 22
- What did you do when you heard they were looking for 23 you? 24
- 25 I told them he was out in the front room. I was sitting

in the kitchen.

- Q. You denied being Keith Halbach?
- 3 A. Yes.

1

- Q. What was the reason for that?
- 5 A. I was scared, I knew they were looking for me.
- 6 Q. Why were you scared?
- A. I was -- just an emotion you have when you do something wrong and you are going to be punished for it, you get scared.
- Q. Did you know in your mind that you were doing something wrong when you went into the bank?
- 12 A. Yes.

- Q. What did you think you were doing wrong?
- 14 A. Stealing.
- 15 Q. Stealing?
- 16 A. Stealing.
- Q. Did you ever find out that the police or the Secret
 Service were looking for you later on after August 9?
- 19 A. Yes, after August 9.
- Q. Did you go -- when you found out they were looking for you, did you turn yourself in immediately?
- 22 A. About a week and a half later.
- Q. What did you do for the week and a half?
- 24 A. Just wasting time, thinking.
- 25 Q. You did not turn yourself in during that period?

A. Not after I found out. It was about a week and a half 1 after I found out they were looking for me. 2 Q. Did you know they were coming by the house looking for 3 you? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. What did you do? Were you still at the house? A. No, I wasn't at the house after I ran from the Buffalo 7 8 Police, I just stayed away. Q. Why didn't you go back to the house? 9 A. Why I knew they would come back, question my brother 10 and Jack Gilmet. 11 Q. You heard Jack Gilmet testify here, you heard several 12 of the other witnesses testifying here regarding these 13 bonds, do you dispute anything they said? 14 MR. HILL: It is a little indefinite, your Honor, I ob-15 ject. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 BY MR. BURNS: 18 Q. Mr. Halbach, you heard Jack Gilmet testify regarding 19 conversations the two of you had? Didn't you hear 20 Mr. Gilmet? 21 22 A. Yes, sir.

> H. T. NOEL OFFICIAL REPORTER, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Q. He talked about the two of you getting together and

make that agreement?

agreeing to go and cash the bonds, did the two of you

23

24

- A. Yes, sir. 1 Q. Did you go to the M & T Bank at Abbott Road and Stevenson? 3 A. Yes, sir, we did. Q. And did you present Government's Exhibit 1, did you 5 present this to the bank? A. Yes, I did. 7 Q. Did they give you money for this bond? A. Yes, they did. Q. Then did you leave the bank? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And you went to another bank and tried to cash some more bonds?
 - A. Yes. Q. You were unsuccessful at that time, is that right?
- A. For the rest of the bonds, yes. 16
 - Q. You went back again to the Abbott-Stevenson branch and saw Mr. Cleary and tried to cash some more, is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You have no dispute with that?
- A. No. 22

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

Q. After he refused to cash the next ones for you, you 23 then went to another bank to withdraw the \$200 you de-24 posited earlier? 25

6s 1	A. Right.
2	Q. After that the two of you split up the \$1100?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Then after finding out that the police were looking for
5	you, the Secret Service was looking for you, you tried
6	to avoid them?
7	A. At first.
8	Q. Is that right?
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. BURNS: I have no further questions, your Honor.
11	MR. HILL: Nothing further, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: You are excused.
13	(Witness excused.)
14	THE COURT: Do you rest?
15	MR. HILL: Yes, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Do you wish to make a motion?
17	MR. HILL: I renew the motions that I made at the end of
18	the prosecution's case, your Honor on the same
19	grounds.
20	THE COURT: Denied. We will sum up after lunch. We will
21	recess now until 2:15. The jury may be excused
22	for lunch. Do not talk about the case, do
23	not let anybody talk about it with you.
24	Please return at 2:15.
25	(Thereupon the jury exited the courtroom.)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

..

25

impossible in human affairs. You should review all of the evidence as you remember it, sift out what you believe and discuss, analyze, weigh and compare your view of the evidence with that of your fellow jurors, and if that process produces a solemn belief or conviction in your mind, such as you would be willing to act upon without hesitation if this were an important matter of your own, then you may say that you have been convinced beyond a reasonable doubt. On the other hand, if your mind is wavering or so uncertain that you would hesitate before acting, if this were an important matter of your own, then you have not been convinced beyond a reasonable doubt, and your verdict must be not guilty.

Now, the indictment in this case contains five counts. Each of these counts charges a separate offense or crime, and each must be considered separately. The indictment names two defendants, but only one of them is on trial before you, Keith C. Halbach. He is the person whose guilt

or innocence you must announce in your verdict, although, as I will explain to you shortly, in considering his guilt or innocence, you may have to determine the nature of the participation, if any, of Jack E. Gilmet.

In determination of innocence or guilt, however, you must bear in mind that guilt is personal. There is no such thing under our system of justice as guilt by mere association. The guilt or innocence of the defendant on trial before you must be determined separately with respect to him, solely on the evidence presented against him or on the lack of evidence.

Let us turn now to the specific charges against this defendant. The indictment here charges the defendant, Keith Halbach, with five crimes. Counts II, III and IV charge that the defendant forged the endorsement of Richard C. Yox on each of three \$1000 United States Savings Bonds, owned and payable to Yox, for the purpose of obtaining or receiving from the United States a sum of money. Count V charges

as true a forged \$1000 United States Savings
Bond, owned by Yox, with intent to defraud
the United States, knowing the same to be
forged. Count I charges the defendant with
a conspiracy to defraud the United States
and to commit offenses against the United
States by uttering and publishing as true
the United States Savings Bonds.

Now, Counts II, III and IV charge the defendant with violating a law which in pertinent part provides:

"Whoever forges a writing for the purpose of obtaining or receiving, either directly or indirectly, from the United States any sum of money, shall be guilty of an offense against the United States."

A forgery, for our purposes here, is simply the endorsement of the payee's name on the back of a genuine United States Savings Bond without the permission or authority of the true owner, the payee, Richard Yox, and if it is done with an intent to defraud.

The term "writing" includes any

H. T. NOEL OFFICIAL REPORTER U.S. DISTRICT COURT

endorsement purporting to be that of the payee on the back of a United States

Savings Bond.

You may conclude that the defendant had a purpose to receive or obtain a sum of money from the United States if you find that he intended to obtain or receive money from the bank, for the bank was the Government's agent for the redemption or payment of the bonds in question in each of these counts, and the automatic consequence of the bank's cashing or redeeming the bonds was that the defendant would obtain or receive money from the United States indirectly, because the United States was ultimately liable for payment of the bonds to the rightful owner, Yox.

In order to convict the defendant on Counts II, III and IV of the indictment the Government must prove, as to each count, each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: One, that the defendant made the written endorsement Richard C. Yox on the back of the United States Savings Bond described in the

25

count you are considering. There is no dispute in the evidence that the defendant did sign Richard C. Yox on the back of these bonds, he admitted that on the witness stand. The second element is that the defendant endorsed Yox' name without his authority or permission, with an intent to receive or obtain a sum of money, directly or indirectly, from the United States or any officers or agents of the United States. Again, there is no dispute, the defendant admitted that he signed the bonds without the authority or permission of Yox. He claims, however, as I understand his testimony, that he did not know that he was receiving the sum of money from the United States. It is enough if he knew that he was receiving it from the banks, which were acting as agents of the United States, as I just explained. The third element is that the defendant acted knowingly and with an intent to defraud the United States.

Count V charges the defendant with violating a law which provides in pertinent

7 8

part that:

"Whoever utters or publishes as true any such forged writing, with intent to defraud the United States, knowing the same to be forged, shall be guilty of an offense against the United States."

For our purposes, the phrase "utters or publishes as true" means to cash or to attempt to cash or to place in circulation a United States Savings Bond by any false statement, representation or claim that the payee's endorsement on the back of the bond is genuine or made with the payee's permission or authority.

In order to convict the defendant on Count V, the Government must prove to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the following elements: One, that the defendant cashed or attempted to cash or place in circulation the United States Savings Bond described in Count V. Here, again, there is no dispute about it, the defendant admitted that he did that. Two, that the payee's endorsement on the bond had been forged. Again, there is no

dispute about it, the defendant admitted it. Three, that the defendant knew that the payee's endorsement had been forged. Again, the defendant admitted that. Four, that the defendant acted knowingly, and with an intent to defraud the United States. There the defendant's position is, as I understand it, that he did not know that he was violating any law of the United States, and, as I advised counsel at the time, he does not have to know that. It is enough that he knows what he is doing, that he acts intentionally and not as a result of mistake, and that he intended to defraud the bank, that is enough.

Intent to defraud is the essence of the crimes charged in Counts II, III, IV and V. However, the evidence in the case need not establish that the United States or anyone else was actually defrauded, but only that the defendant acted knowingly and with an intent to defraud.

To act with an intent to defraud means to act with an intention to deceive or to cheat, ordinarily for the purpose either

H. T NOEL

24

25

of causing some financial loss to another or bringing about some financial gain to one's self. The bank, as I have told you, was acting as the Government's agent in redeeming the bonds in question. element of intent to defraud the Government is therefore satisfied if you find that the defendant knowingly and intentionally intended to deceive the bank about any material fact by means of false representa tions, forgery, deceit, false impersonation, false identification or other false pretenses, for the purpose of causing the bank to redeem or to cash the bonds, and to gain the proceeds of the bonds for his own use and benefit, against the rights of their true owner, Richard Yox. This element is also satisfied if you find that the defendant admitted acts of forging the payee's name on the back of the bonds, and in cashing or attempting to cash them for his own use interfered with the governmental function of borrowing and repaying money.

One of the functions of the United

States is to borrow money for the operation

H. T. NOEL
OFFICIAL REPORTER, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

24

25

of the government, to support an army and a navy, and many other programs of the federal government, and since the government needs enormous sums, it is necessary for the government to borrow small amounts from a multitude of investors and lenders. Savings bonds are issued in small denominations and, unlike currency, they are not payable to the bearer, but payable only to the named payee or to his authorized agent upon the payee's genuine endorsement. This gives the bond owner a measure of safety and security against theft and forgery, and makes the bonds attractive to small investors, who may keep them in their homes without undue risk of financial loss, for the government is obliged to make good if the bonds are stolen and redeemed by the thief by forging the signature of the payee. The redemption and payment of the proceeds of the bonds to their true owner is thus an important governmental function, and if you find that the defendant's act or acts interfered with that function, you may conclude

H. T. NOEL
OFFICIAL REPORTER U.S. DISTRICT COURT

A.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that he had the requisite intent to defraud the government.

Now, you will note that in describing the elements of the crimes charged here, I have said that the defendant must have acted knowingly and intentionally. Now, this does not mean that a defendant must be aware that his conduct is criminal or that it violates any law of the United States. It simply means that he must know what he is doing, that he makes a conscious choice in his actions, that he was acting freely and voluntarily, deliberately and on purpose, and not because of mistake, accident, carelessness or other innocent reason. The key to this element of the crime is the defendant's guilty knowledge and intent.

Now, in determining the intent of a defendant it is obviously impossible to look into his mind; however, intent and knowledge may be inferred from the way a defendant acts, and by what he said, in light of all the surrounding circumstances. The adage "actions speak louder than words"

is the best guide to determining the intent of a defendant.

In determining the defendant's intent, therefore, you should consider such evidence as you recall and believe, as to the manner in which the defendant acquired and cashed or attempted to cash these bonds, and the use which he made or intended to make of the proceeds. You should also consider whether he used any false identification or otherwise deceived or misled the bank to believe that he was the true owner and payee of the bonds, and whether, of course, in forging the bonds he intended to deceive the bank.

This brings us to Count I. Count I charges that beginning on or about August 9, 1973, the defendant, Keith C. Halbach, and Jack E. Gilmet unlawfully, willfully and knowingly conspired and agreed together and with each other to defraud and to commit offenses against the United States by uttering and publishing as true forged United States Savings Bonds.

In order to convict the defendant

H. T NOEL
OFFICIAL REPORTER U.S DISTRICT COURT

7 8

25

Halbach on Count I the Government must prove to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the following three elements: One, the existence of a conspiracy beginning on or about August 9, 1973, for the purpose of willfully, knowingly and intentionally defrauding the United States, and committing offenses against the United States, by uttering and publishing as true forged United States Savings Bonds. Two, that the defendant Halbach joined the conspiracy with knowledge of its unlawful purpose. Three, that any one of the conspirators, whether or not the defendant Halbach, committed at least one overt act in furtherance of the objects of the conspiracy.

What is a conspiracy? A conspiracy, for our purposes, is simply a combination or agreement among two or more people to violate the law, as charged in Count I of the indictment. In other words, an agreement to forge and utter as true United States Savings Bonds. Thus, a conspiracy is a kind of partnership in a

criminal purpose. The gist of the crime is the combination or agreement, itself, to violate the law.

This does not mean that two or more persons must meet and sign a formal partnership agreement or that they must sit down and agree in so many words on what their unlawful plan or scheme is to be or how they are going to carry it out.

The first element is satisfied if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that any two or more people in any way intentionally combined or agreed to a common plan, knowingly and intentionally, to defraud the United States or to commit offenses against the United States by uttering and publishing as true forged United States Savings Bonds.

A conspiracy may be found to exist although the purpose of the conspiracy is never accomplished. Proof, however, that the conspiracy was accomplished is the most persuasive evidence of the existence of the conspiracy itself.

Now, the second element, which the Government is required to prove beyond a

25

reasonable doubt is that the defendant joined the conspiracy with knowledge of its unlawful purpose. When I say "joined the conspiracy," I do not mean that the defendant has to apply for some kind of a membership. Before one can be found to be a conspirator, however, he must know about the conspiracy and of its unlawful purpose, and voluntarily and knowingly join in the criminal venture, with an intent to combine with others in violating the law. He must knowingly promote the scheme or have some kind of a stake in its outcome. In this connection, you will apply my earlier instructions regarding the subject of knowledge and intent. One may become a member of a conspiracy without knowing all of the details or all of the operations of the conspiracy. One defendant may know only one other member of the conspiracy, yet if he knowingly cooperates to further the illegal purpose of the conspiracy, with knowledge that others have combined to violate the law, he becomes a member, although his own role may be

insignificant. If you find that a defendant did join the conspiracy, then he is bound by what others say and do to promote and further the venture, even though he, himself, is not present. This is so because each conspirator is the agent or partner of every other conspirator.

The third element of the crime of conspiracy is the commission by any conspirator of at least one overt act in furtherance of the objects of the conspiracy.

An overt act means an act by any member of the conspiracy in an effort to accomplish some purpose of the conspiracy. The reason the law of conspiracy requires an overt act is because a person might agree to commit a crime and then change his mind. Therefore, before a defendant can be convicted of the crime of conspiracy, one or more of the conspirators must have taken at least one step or performed one single act which moved toward carrying out the unlawful intent to commit the crime.

The Government here has alleged twelve

H. T. NOEL
OFFICIAL REPORTER U.S DISTRICT COURT

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

overt acts, and you will note upon reading the indictment, which I will send in to you, that some of these acts are innocent in and of themselves. Nevertheless, if those acts were performed by any member of the conspiracy, whether or not it is the defendant on trial, and those acts were performed during the existence of the conspiracy and in furtherance of its purpose, then those acts are sufficient to satisfy the third element. The Government is not required to prove that each of the overt acts alleged was committed. It is enough if the Government proves beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one of the overt acts charged was committed in furtherance of the conspiracy by one or more members of the conspiracy.

As I told you, you must consider each count of this indictment separately. If you find, as to the count which you are considering, that the Government has failed to prove each of the elements of the crime charged in that count, as I have defined those elements, then you should acquit the

. 6

defendant, Keith Halbach, on that count.

On the other hand, if, as to the count which you are considering, you find that the Government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt all of the elements of the crime charged in that count, as I have defined them, then you should convict the defendant Halbach on that count.

You are instructed that the question of possible punishment of the defendant in the event of conviction is no concern of yours, and should not in any sense enter into or influence your deliberations. The duty of imposing sentence in the event of a conviction rests exclusinally upon the Court. The function of the jury is to weigh the evidence in the case and determine the guilt or innocence of the defendant solely upon the basis of such evidence.

When you retire to the jury room, treat one another with consideration and respect, as I know you will. If differences of opinion arise, discussions should be dignified, calm and intelligent. Your verdict must be based on the evidence and

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

the law, the evidence which was presented in this case, as you remember it, and the law as I give it to you in this charge.

You are each entitled to your own opinion. No juror should acquiesce in a verdict against his individual judgment. Nevertheless, I would point out that no one should enter the jury room with such pride of opinion that no matter how persuasive or convincing the argument of his fellow jurors, he would refuse to change his mind. Discussion and deliberation are part of our democratic jury process, and your deliberations should be approached in that spirit. Talk out your differences, Each of you, in effect, should decide the case for himself after thoroughly reviewing the evidence and frankly discussing it with your fellow jurors, with an open mind and with a desire to reach a verdict. If you do that you will be acting in the true democratic process of the American jury system.

There are twelve of you on this jury.

The alternates will be excused, with the

thanks of the Court, before you retire
for your deliberations. Any verdict must
be the unanimous verdict of all cf you
as to each defendant, and it must represent
the honest conclusion of each of you.

I submit the case to you with every confidence that you will fully measure up to the oath which you took as members of the jury, to decide the issues submitted to you fairly and impartially, and without fear or favor.

Now, members of the jury, if you find that the Government has failed to establish the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, you should acquit the defendant. If you find that he has not violated the law, you should not hesitate for any reason to render a verdict of not guilty. But, on the other hand, if you find that the Government has established the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, you should not hesitate lecause of sympathy or any other reason to render a verdict of guilty.

When you retire to the jury room you

H. T. NOEL
OFFICIAL REPORTER U.S. DISTRICT COURT

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 MR. BURNS:

14 MR. HILL:

15 16

17

18

19

21 THE COURT:

22 MR. HILL:

24

23

25

will elect someone to act as your foreman or forelady from among your members, and the foreman or forelady will return an oral verdict in open court of guilty or not guilty as to each of the five counts of this indictment.

Are there any exceptions, gentlemen?

If so, I will hear you up at the side bar.

(Thereupon the following conference ensued at the side bar:

I have no exceptions, your Honor.

I have a few, your Honor. First, relative to the charge of forgery, I recall the Court charging, with respect to intent, in terms of a general intent to defraud, as opposed to a specific intent to defraud the United States. I respectfully except

I note your exception.

to that portion of the charge.

Thank you. Further on in the charge you charged that it was -- I think covered this way -- that it was not necessary that the defendant have known that he was

H. T. NOEL
OFFICIAL REPORTER, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

4

6

5

7 8

9

10 THE COURT:

11 MR. HILL:

14 15

12

13

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

25

24

THE COURT:

violating the law of the United States, it was enough that he intended to defraud the bank. I except to that portion of the charge. Relative to Count V, concerning the forged United States Saving Bonds, you charged in terms of a payee's endorsement being forged, as opposed to it being a forged savings bond. We have been over this ground before.

I note these exceptions.

Thank you. You charged, relative to interference with governmental function. I except to that portion of the charge, there being no proof bearing on this theory in the record, at least to my recollection, and with respect to the Court's charge on knowingly -- act knowingly and intentionally, the charge was in essence that he must act freely and voluntarily, and so forth. I except to that portion of the charge as it omits any requirement of specific intent to defraud the government. Those are my exceptions.

I am not in full accord with what you say I charged. The record will show what I

H. T NOEL OFFICIAL REPORTER U.S. DISTRICT COURT

1		charged.	
2	MR. HILL:	One other thing, your Honor, with respect	
3		to the requests this is the exhibit	
4		that the Court marked with my requests to	
5		charge	
6	THE COURT:	Let the record reflect that my rulings	
.7		are in the margin in my own handwriting	
8		in pencil.	
9	MR. HILL:	May that be marked?	
10	THE COURT:	It may be marked Cout Exhibit A. Was	
11		that voir dire marked?	
12	MR. HILL:	No, your Honor.	
13	THE COURT:	We will mark that Court Exhibit B.	
14			
15	•	(Thereupon the conference at the side bar	
16		was terminated.)	
17			
18		(Thereupon two deputy marshals were duly	
19		sworn.)	
20			
21	THE COURT:	All right, the jury may retire. Follow	
22		the marshals.	
23	THE CLERK:	The two alternates, please be seated in	
24		the rear of the courtroom. You will not	
25		go down.	
		H. T. NOEL OFFICIAL REPORTER, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

(Thereupon the jury exited the courtroom at 10:20 A.M., and the court was in recess awaiting the return of the jury.)

(Thereupon the jury returned to the courtroom at 11:30 A.M.)

(Defendant present, counsel present.)

THE COURT:

I have your note asking, "Does the word 'knowingly' in Count I mean that the defendant knew he was defrauding the United States government?" I am sure you have in mind the defendant's testimony to the effect that he knew what he was doing was wrong, that he thought he was violating only a state law, that he did not realize or know that he was defrauding the United States, words to that effect, that he did not intend to defraud the United States. The first question you have to decide is whether you believe that, and in that connection, you should look at the language on the bond and see whether, in the face of that, you can credit that testimony.

H. T. NOEL
OFFICIAL REPORTER, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

24

25

25

Now, if you don't believe it, you have no other problems, if you do believe it you still have no problems, because, as I explained with some detail, it is not necessary that the defendant know that he is violating a federal law or that he is defrauding the United States, it is no part of the crime. It is sufficient if he knows that he is defrauding the bank. The bank was the agent of the United States, and ultimately the government has to make good if the bank pays on the forged endorsement on those bonds. So it is sufficient if he knew that he was defrauding the bank of money. I also explained with some detail that it is also sufficient to an intent, a knowing intent to defraud the United States if you find that his act is an intentional, deliberate act, specifically, forging these bonds, cashing them, and getting the money for his own use, either by depositing it in the bank or taking it out to blow on something for his personal use, interfered in any way with the governmental function of paying

1		its just obligations, these savings bonds,
2		and that also would constitute a knowing
3		intention to defraud the United States.
4		You may retire for further deliberation.
5		
6		(Thereupon the jury exited the courtroom
7		at 11:40 A.M., and the court was in recess
8		awaiting the return of the jury.)
9		
10		(Thereupon the jury returned to the
11		courtroom at 11:55 A.M.)
12		
13		(Defendant present, counsel present.)
14		
15	THE COURT:	All right, proceed.
16	THE CLERK:	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, have you
17		agreed on your verdict?
18	FORELADY:	Yes.
19	THE CLERK:	What is your verdict as to the defendant,
20		Keith C. Halbach, on Count I?
21	FORELADY:	Guilty.
22	THE CLERK:	Count II?
23	FORE LADY:	Guilty.
24	THE CLERK:	Count III?
25	FORELADY:	Guilty,

THE CLERK: Count IV?

2 | FORELADY: Guilty.

THE CLERK: Count V?

FORELADY: Guilty.

THE CLERK: Please listen as the clerk of the court

records your verdict. You find the defendant

guilty on Count I, guilty on Count II,

guilty on Count III, guilty on Count IV,

guilty on Count V. So say you all?

(Thereupon there was an affirmative response

from the jury.)

THE COURT:

I want to thank you for the careful attention you gave to this case. I apologize for keeping you waiting a few minutes after you reached your verdict. The Court has another case commencing, the lawyers are in the middle of their opening statements, and I could not properly interrupt them.

This was a very unusual case, there really was no defense to it. But it had to have a trial because defense counsel has a different view of the law than the Court, not that there is anything wrong with an

H. T. NOEL
OFFICIAL REPORTER, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

"		
1		interchange of view. The only way he
2		can test the burden of the law is to
3		first go through the trial and appeal.
4		So there was not really any other verdict
5		you could have reached. You are excused
6		now until tomorrow morning at ten o'clock,
7		unless we call you tomight and tell you
8		not to come in, unless you are notified
9		otherwise.
10		
11		(Thereupon the jury exited the courtroom
12		at 12 Noon.)
13		
14	THE COURT:	Mr. Hill?
15	MR. HILL:	Excuse me?
16	THE COURT:	Do you have some motions?
17	MR. HILL:	Could I make those later, your Honor?
18	THE COURT:	Yes, if you wish, reserve your motions
19		until the time of sentence. We will set
20		sentence for do you have a calendar
21		there?
22	THE CLERK:	I'm sorry, your Honor.
23	THE COURT:	It will be the last Thursday in May, whatever
24		date that is.
NEW YORK WATER		

H. T. NOEL
OFFICIAL REPORTER, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

25 MR. HILL: Very well, your Honor. Thank you.

Judgment and Commitment.

IUDO	MENT	AND	COMMITMENT	(Rev. 2-68)
JUDU	PARRIET		COMMIMENT	INGY. A-OU

Cr. Form No. 25a

United	States	Bistrict	Court
	TOR		

United States of America
v.

No. Gr-1973-367

On this day of day of government and the defendant appeared in person and with countries came the attorney for the

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant upon his plea or, a verdict of gailty on April 30, 1974,

Conspirary to counit offences against the U.S., by tableton source services and publish as true a forgat U.S. Sevings Books, (Ct.1), in violation of (Cts. 2,3,4); Utter and publish so true a forgat U.S. Sovings Book, imering the community (Cts. 3), in violation of Title 16, U.S. Sovings Book, imering the community (Cts. 3), in violation of Title 16, U.S. Sovie, Section 493,

as charged in Counts One thru Pive

and the court having asked the defendant whether he has anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced, and no sufficient cause to the contrary being shown or appearing to the Court,

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is guilty as charged and convicted.

his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Defendant to contensed as a Youthful Offender on each of Counts Can through Five, persent to Title 18, U.S.Code, Section SGIO(p), and he is consisted to the custody of the Atternay Concral for treatment and supervision until discharged by time Federal Youth Correction Division of the Search of Persie, as provided in Section 5017(c) of Title 18, U.S. Code. The contenses imposed on Coch of Counts for through Five are to run one correctly.

IT IS ADJUDGED that

It is Ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the United States Marshal or other qualified officer and that the copy serve as the commitment of the defendant.

The Court recommends commitment to			United States District Judge. JOHN K. ADAMS	
		100- No A40	Clork.	
A True Copy. Certif	ed this day of	!		
	Clerk		Deputy Clerk.	
PROVED: JOHN T. ELF	/III / J. Accounty		THROUGH J. MOMES, Assistant U.S. Attorney	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

State of New County of Gen City of Batav	York) esee) ss.:	E: U. S. A. v Keith Halbach Docket No. 74-17	761			
I, Roger J. Grazioplene being duly sworn, say: I am over eighteen years of age and an employee of the Batavia Times Publishing Company, Batavia, New York.						
On the	day of copies of a sealed	September of a printed Apple A	1974 pendix in per, to:			
	Sean D. M. Hi					
	Buffalo, New	York 14202				
at the First Class Post Office in Batavia, New York. The package was mailed Special Delivery at about 4:00 P.M. on said date at the request of:						
John T. Elfvin	, U. S. Attorney					
502 U. S. Courthouse, Buffalo, New York 14202						
Sworn to before me this						
Monica Shaw						

MONICA SHAW NOTARY PUBLIC, State of N.Y., Genesee County My Commission Expires March 30, 19....